



**UTM**  
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

**SCHOOL OF COMPUTING**  
Faculty of Engineering

**Assignment 3 (Group)**  
**SECI2143-07**  
**Probability & Statistical Data Analysis**  
SEMESTER II, SESSION 2021/2022

Lecturer: Dr. Nor Azizah Ali

Group Name: Little Group

Name	Student ID
TIA SIAW XUEN	A21EC0233
CHUA XIN LIN	A21EC0020
MA ZE JUN	A21EC4009
KEE LE WEI	A21EC0189

## ASSIGNMENT 3

1.  $\bar{x} = 3.433 \text{ kg}$

$\delta = 495 \text{ g} = 0.495 \text{ kg}$

a)  $n = 75$

$1 - \alpha = 0.95, \therefore \alpha/2 = 0.025$

$$\bar{x} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} = 3.433 \pm Z_{0.025} \frac{0.495}{\sqrt{75}}$$

$$= 3.433 \pm 1.96 \frac{0.495}{\sqrt{75}}$$

$$= 3.433 \pm 0.1120$$

$$= (3.321, 3.545)$$

b)  $n = 75000$

$1 - \alpha = 0.95, \therefore \alpha/2 = 0.025$

$$\bar{x} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} = 3.433 \pm Z_{0.025} \frac{0.495}{\sqrt{75000}}$$

$$= 3.433 \pm 1.96 \frac{0.495}{\sqrt{75000}}$$

$$= 3.433 \pm 0.0035$$

$$= (3.4295, 3.4365)$$

c) The confidence intervals of a) is more wider. This is because the sample size will affect the width of the confidence intervals. A larger sample size will result in a narrow confidence interval with a smaller margin of error. A smaller sample size will result in a wider confidence interval with larger margin of error.



$$2. H_0: \mu = 91.4 \text{ cm}$$

$$H_1: \mu \neq 91.4 \text{ cm}$$

$$n = 36$$

$$\bar{x} = 92.8 \text{ cm}$$

$$\sigma = 3.6 \text{ cm}$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$\alpha/2 = 0.025$$

$$\text{critical value} = Z_{0.025} = 1.96$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Test statistic: } z &= \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \\ &= \frac{92.8 - 91.4}{3.6/\sqrt{36}} \\ &= \frac{1.4}{0.6} \\ &= 2.33 \end{aligned}$$

$$P(z > 2.33) = (1 - 0.9901) = 0.0099$$

$$P(z < -2.33) = 0.0099$$

$$P\text{-value} = 2(0.0099) = 0.0198$$

Since  $|z| = 2.33 > Z_{0.025} = 1.96$ , reject  $H_0$ . There is sufficient evidence to conclude that children from urban area have a mean height different from 91.4 cm.



$$3. H_0: p_1 = p_2$$

$$H_1: p_1 > p_2$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{51}{71}$$

$$= 0.718$$

$$\hat{p}_2 = \frac{31}{53}$$

$$= 0.585$$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{51+31}{71+53} = \frac{82}{124}$$

$$= 0.661$$

$$\bar{q} = 1 - \bar{p} = 1 - 0.661$$

$$= 0.339$$

$$z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p}\bar{q}\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}} = \frac{(0.718 - 0.585) - (0)}{\sqrt{0.661(0.339)\left(\frac{1}{71} + \frac{1}{53}\right)}}$$

$$= \frac{0.133}{0.0859}$$

$$= 1.55$$

$$P\text{-value} = P(Z > 1.55) = 1 - (0.9394) = 0.0606$$

$$\text{Critical value} = Z_\alpha = Z_{0.05} = 1.645$$

Since  $z = 1.55 < Z_{0.05} = 1.645$ , thus we fail to reject the null hypothesis. There is no sufficient evidence to support the claim that male drivers are more prone to go through zebra crossing compare to female drivers.



Q4:

X:

Y:

mean:  $\bar{x} = 21.0333$

$$\bar{y} = 20.8923$$

$$s_1 = 0.06218$$

$$s_2 = 1.00703$$

$$n_1 = 19$$

$$n_2 = 13$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$$

$$H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$$

$$df = 9 + 13 - 1 = 21$$

Standard error:  $\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} = \sqrt{0.3675/19 + 1.0141/13} \approx 0.3447$

Test statistic =  $(21.0333 - 20.8923) / \sqrt{0.3675/19 + 1.0141/13} \approx 0.409$

p-value =  $2 \times P(t > |0.409|) = 0.69321$

~~tail~~  $t_c = t_{0.025, df} = \pm 2.366$

rejection one tail to reject the null hypothesis. not evidence to conclude  $\mu_1$  is different from  $\mu_2$ .



5.

for 2-hour

$$\sum x = 58861, n_1 = 15$$

$$\text{mean} = 58861 / 15 = 3924.067$$

$$\text{standard deviation} = \sqrt{240610699 - (58861)^2 / 15} / 14 = 829.639$$

for 4-hour

$$n_2 = 15$$

$$\sum x = 61039$$

$$\text{mean} = 61039 / 15 = 4069.267$$

$$\text{standard deviation} = \sqrt{26109705 - (61039)^2 / 15} / 14 = 952.896$$

$$\text{let } H_0 = \mu_1 = \mu_2 \quad H_1 = \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$$

pooled variance:

$$s_p^2 = (15-1) \times 829.639^2 + (15-1) \times 952.896^2 / (15+15-2) = 798155.6$$

$$\text{test statistic: } t = (\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) / \sqrt{s_p^2 \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)} = -0.4451$$

$$df = 28$$

p-value = 0.6397.  $\rightarrow$   $\alpha$  so not reject the null hypothesis.  
So, not enough evidence.

年 月 日



6.  $n = 8$

$H_0: \mu_D = 3$

$H_1: \mu_D > 3$

subject	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Before treatment ( $x_1$ )	14	12	18	7	11	9	16	15	
After treatment ( $x_2$ )	10	4	14	6	9	6	12	12	
$D = x_1 - x_2$	4	8	4	1	2	3	4	3	$\Sigma D = 29$
$D^2 = (x_1 - x_2)^2$	16	64	16	1	4	9	16	9	$\Sigma D^2 = 135$

$$\bar{D} = \frac{\Sigma D}{n} = \frac{29}{8} = 3.625$$

$$s_D = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma D^2 - \frac{(\Sigma D)^2}{n}}{n-1}} = \sqrt{\frac{135 - \frac{(29)^2}{8}}{8-1}} = 2.066$$

$$t = \frac{\bar{D} - \mu_D}{s_D / \sqrt{n}} = \frac{3.625 - 3}{\frac{2.066}{\sqrt{8}}} = 0.856$$

t-value from table = 1.415

$\therefore$  Since  $0.856 < 1.415$ , hence fail to reject null hypothesis.

There is not enough evidence to support the claim mean number of words after 1 hour exceeds the mean number of words is more than 3.

7.  $H_0$ : Tar level categories are the same  
 $H_1$ : At least 1 is different

$$E = \frac{n}{k} = \frac{103 + 378 + 563 + 150}{4} = 298.5$$

Tar level	Frequency	Expected	$(O-E)^2/E$
0 - 7 mg	103	298.5	128.04
8 - 14 mg	378	298.5	21.17
15 - 21 mg	563	298.5	234.37
$\geq 22$ mg	150	298.5	73.88

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = 128.04 + 21.17 + 234.37 + 73.88 = 457.46$$

$$\chi^2_{3,0.05} = 7.815$$

Since  $457.46 > 7.815$ , we reject hypothesis null.

Hence, we claimed that proportion of male smoker lung cancer deaths are not the same for the four given tar level categories.



## Question 8

 $p_1 =$  yellow and sweet $p_2 =$  green and sweet $p_3 =$  yellow and juicy $p_4 =$  yellow and sour

$$H_0: p_1 = \frac{9}{16}, p_2 = \frac{3}{16}, p_3 = \frac{3}{16}, p_4 = \frac{1}{16}$$

 $H_1: \text{At least one of the proportions is different from claimed value.}$ 

frequency	Ratio	$p$	Expected frequency	$\chi^2$
124	9	$\frac{9}{16}$	$208 \left(\frac{9}{16}\right) = 117$	$\frac{7^2}{117} = 0.4188$
36	3	$\frac{3}{16}$	$208 \left(\frac{3}{16}\right) = 39$	$\frac{-1^2}{39} = 2.0769$
43	3	$\frac{3}{16}$	$208 \left(\frac{3}{16}\right) = 39$	$\frac{4^2}{39} = 4.103$
11	1	$\frac{1}{16}$	$208 \left(\frac{1}{16}\right) = 13$	$\frac{-2^2}{13} = 0.3077$

$$\chi^2 = 3.2137$$

$$= 3.214$$

$$\chi_{0.01, 3}^2 = 11.345$$

$\therefore$  Test statistic value ( $\chi^2 = 3.214$ ) < critical value ( $\chi_{0.01, 3}^2 = 11.345$ ), we do not reject  $H_0$ . These data support the theory.



Question 9 $H_0 =$  No relationship between variables. $H_1 =$  Variables has relationship.

$$\alpha = 0.1$$

$$df = (2-1)(5-1) = 4$$

	27-29	29-31	31-33	33-35	735	
G <sub>1</sub>	6	11	16	14	13	60
G <sub>2</sub>	5	9	8	6	2	30
	11	20	24	20	15	180

$O_{ij}$	$D_{ij}$	$E_{ij}$	$\chi^2$
11	6	3.6667	1.4848
12	11	6.6667	2.8166
13	16	8.0000	8.0000
14	14	6.6667	8.0666
15	13	5.0000	12.8000
21	5	1.8333	5.4699
22	9	3.3333	9.6354
23	8	4.0000	4.0000
24	6	3.3333	2.1334
25	2	2.5000	0.1000

$$\chi^2 = 54.5067$$

$$= 54.507$$

$$\chi_{0.1, 4}^2 = 7.779$$

$\therefore$  Test statistic value ( $\chi^2 = 54.507$ ) > critical value ( $\chi_{0.1, 4}^2 = 7.779$ ), we reject  $H_0$ .

There is evidence of a relationship between the variables.

